## **BEST AVAILABLE COPY**

**PCT** 

PATENT COOPERATION TREATER'D 2 9 AUG 2005 WIPO

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

		T			
Applicant's or agent's file reference 701.436		FOR FURTHER ACT	'ION s	ee Form PCT/IPEA/416	
International application No. PCT/EP2004/006276		International filing date (da 10.06.2004	ny/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 10.06.2003	
Interna	ational Patent Classification (IPC) or n	l ational classification and IPC	I		
A63C5/07, A63C9/00					
Applicant					
QUA	GGIOTTI, Vittorio				
<ol> <li>This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.</li> </ol>					
	2. This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.				
з.	This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:				
	a.   sent to the applicant and to the International Bureau) a total of 3sheets, as follows:				
	sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).				
	<ul> <li>sheets which superse beyond the disclosure Supplemental Box.</li> </ul>	ede earlier sheets, but whi e in the international appli	ch this Authority consideration as filed, as indicated	ders contain an amendment that goes ated in item 4 of Box No. I and the	
	► ☐ (cont to the International i	Bureau only) a total of (inc	licate type and number	of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a	
	sequence listing and/or ta Box Relating to Sequence	bles related thereto, in co	mputer readable form (	only, as indicated in the Supplemental	
		- '			
<u></u>			·		
4. This report contains indications relating to the following items:					
		inion			
	☐ Box No. II Priority				
			d to novelty, inventive s	step and industrial applicability	
	☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of			to a strange and advantage	
	Box No. V Reasoned state applicability; c	tement under Article 35(2) itations and explanations	with regard to novelty, supporting such statem	, inventive step or industrial nent	
	☐ Box No. VI Certain docum	ents cited			
	☐ Box No. VII Certain defect	s in the international appli	cation		
	☐ Box No. VIII Certain observ	ations on the internationa	al application		
	College of the decrease		Date of completion of thi	s report	
Date	of submission of the demand		Date of completion of the		
03.01.2005			25.08.2005		
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:			Authorized Officer	guess Petenton.	
	European Patent Office		Brunie, F		
	D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523	3656 epmu <sub>.</sub> d	-	200 7044	
I —	Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465		Telephone No. +49 89 2	199-1944 Catter and 1995	

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/006276

_	Box No. I Basis of the report	t			
1.	With regard to the <b>language</b> , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
	☐ This report is based on tran which is the language of a t	nslations from the original language into the following language , iranslation furnished for the purposes of:			
	☐ publication of the interna	der Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) ational application (under Rule 12.4) examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)			
2.	With regard to the elements* of have been furnished to the rece report as "originally filed" and at	f the international application, this report is based on <i>(replacement sheets which</i> siving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this re not annexed to this report):			
<b>.</b>	Description Posses	graphic control of the control of th			
	Description, Pages	an evictionally filled			
	1-8	as originally filed			
	Claims, Numbers				
	1-13	received on 03.02.2005 with letter of 24.01.2005			
	Drawings, Sheets				
	1/8-8/8	as originally filed			
	☐ a sequence listing and/or a	ny related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing			
3.	.   The amendments have res	sulted in the cancellation of:			
	<ul><li>☐ the description, pages</li><li>☐ the claims, Nos.</li><li>☐ the drawings, sheets/fig</li></ul>				
	☐ the sequence listing (sp☐ any table(s) related to s	pecify): sequence listing <i>(specify)</i> :			
4.	had not been made, since they Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c	olished as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the color.			
	<ul><li>☐ the description, pages</li><li>☐ the claims, Nos.</li><li>☐ the drawings, sheets/fig</li></ul>	ųs			
	☐ the sequence listing (sp☐ any table(s) related to s	pecify): sequence listing <i>(specify)</i> :			
	* If item 4 applies, £	some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."			

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/006276

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1-13

1. Statement

Novelty (N) Yes: Claims

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS) Yes: Claims 1-13

No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA) Yes: Claims 1-13

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/006276

#### Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US 2002/096860 A1 (LOWE MICHAEL R) 25 July 2002 (2002-07-25)

D2: WO 01/91861 A (ATOMIC AUSTRIA GMBH; HOLZER HELMUT (AT); HUBER RUPERT (AT); RIEPLER B) 6 December 2001 (2001-12-06)

. . . . .

The document D1 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 1, shows a downhill ski comprising a thrust support comprising a front prolongation the end of which applies elastically a downward thrust action on a point in that portion of the ski between the front jaw of the binding and the section where the tip curvature commences, from which ski the subject-matter of claim 1 differs in that the prolongation has at its front end a connection being acting as a bilateral support and a hinge to inhibit vertical relative movements but to enable longitudinal sliding ones.

The subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore new (Article 33(2) PCT).

The problem to be solved by the present invention may be regarded as providing a better control of the point where the thrust is applied.

This is achieved through a connection action as a bilateral support and a hinge inhibiting vertical movements. Thus flattering of the prolongation and sideways sliding of the thrust point when the ski is submitted to torsion is avoided.

The solution to this problem proposed in claim 1 of the present application is considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) for the following reasons:

In D2 (figure 9), a ski with a similar prolongation is disclosed. The prolongation may be able to transmit a downwards force, but this is not apparent from D2. The connection is of a type

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/006276

similar as in claim 1. However in D2, the thrust is applied in a longitudinal direction. A combination of D1 with D2 appears not probable, since in D2, the problem of stiffening the front of the ski is already solved, and the two stiffening systems appear not to be associable or even compatible within the disclosed structures.

Claims 2-13 are dependent on claim 1 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.

٠5

10

20

.]-

#### CLAIMS

- 1.. A downhill ski characterised by comprising a thrust support consisting of a superstructure having a base member, connected to the central region of the ski, and a front prolongation (5,11), the end of which exerts elastically a concentrated downward thrust action on a point (6) in that portion of the ski between the front jaw (P) of the binding and the section (4) where the tip curvature commences, the connection of the end of the said front prolongation (5,11) to said ski being of the type acting as a bilateral support and a hinge of transverse-horizontal axis, able to inhibit mutual movements in a vertical direction, but such as to enable mutual rotation about said transverse-horizontal direction and mutual sliding in a longitudinal direction.
- 2. A ski as claimed in claim 1, characterised in that the thrust support acts on a point substantially at the centre of that portion between the front jaw (P) of the binding and the section (4) where the tip curvature commences.
- 15 3. A ski as claimed in claim 1, characterised in that the thrust support acts on a point situated in the rear half of that portion between the front jaw (P) of the binding and the section (4) where the tip curvature commences.
  - 4. A ski as claimed in claim 1, characterised in that the base member is split into two half-members (8,9), namely a rear one (9) for merely raising the heel of the binding, and a front one (8) below the front part of the boot.
  - 5. A ski as claimed in claim 1, characterised in that said prolongation (5) and at least the front portion (8) of said base member form a monolithic entity.

15.

20

- 6. A ski as claimed in claims 4 and 5, characterised in that said front half-member (8) is hinge-connected to said central region (1) at a point (17) to the rear of the front jaw (P).
- 7. A ski as claimed in claim 1, characterised in that said connection (6) consists of a hinge slotted in a horizontal plane.
- 8. A ski as claimed in claim 4, characterised in that said prolongation (11) is independent, is connected at its rear to the front portion of said base member by a hinge (12) and is provided with a retro-prolongation (13) which extends from said hinge and acts as a reacting element on the base member by means of a counteracting element (14).
- 9. A ski as claimed in claim 4, characterised in that such prolongation (11) is independent, is connected at its rear to the front portion of said base member by a hinge (12) and is provided with a retro-prolongation (13) which extends from said hinge and acts as a reacting element on the ski by means of a counteracting element (16).
- 10. A ski as claimed in claim 4, characterised in that such prolongation (11) is independent, is connected at its rear to the front portion of said base member by a hinge (12) and is provided with a retro-prolongation (13) which extends from said hinge and acts as a reacting element on the base member by means of a first counteracting element (14) and on the ski by means of a second counteracting element (16).
- 11. A ski as claimed in one or more of claims from 8 to 10 characterised in that at least one counteracting element (14,16) is of adjustable feed.

P. 029

-3-

- 12. A ski as claimed in claim 11, characterised in that to at least one reaction element a substantially elastic insert (15) is associated.
- 13. A ski as claimed in claim 12 characterised in that the insert (15) is formed of high-resistance rubber.

5

# This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

### **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

□ BLACK BORDERS
□ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
□ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
□ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
□ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
□ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
□ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
□ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
□ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
□ OTHER: \_\_\_\_\_

## IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.